## **Notice of Panel Decision** from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
10/532,348	AYGEN, SITKE	
	Art Unit	
NAVIN NATNITHITHADHA	3735	
	-	

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal	Brief Request for Rev	riew filed 2 Marcl	h 2011.	
<ol> <li>Improper Request – The F reason(s):</li> </ol>	Request is improper a	nd a conference	will not be held for the following	
☐ The Notice of Appeal has ☐ The request does not incl ☐ A proposed amendment i ☐ Other:	ude reasons why a re	view is appropria	ate.	
The time period for filing a response continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from the mail date of the last Office communication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.				
held. The application remains unc is required to submit an appeal br brief will be reset to be one month running from the receipt of the no	der appeal because the rief in accordance with a from mailing this dec tice of appeal, whiche 7 CFR 1.136 based u	ere is at least on 37 CFR 41.37. sision, or the bala ver is greater. Fi	e-Appeal Brief conference has been te actual issue for appeal. Applicant The time period for filing an appeal ance of the two-month time period urther, the time period for filing of the e of this decision or the receipt date	
☐ The panel has determine Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 3-5. Claim(s) withdrawn from cor		aim(s) is as follov	ws:	
Allowable application − A     Allowance will be mailed. Prosect     applicant at this time.				
4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A caction will be mailed. No further a				
All participants:				
(1) <u>NAVIN NATNITHITHADHA</u> .		(3) CHARLES N	MARMOR, II.	
(2) <u>TOM HUGHES</u> .		(4)		
/Navin Natnithithadha/ Examiner, Art Unit 3735	/Tom Hughes/ TQAS, TC 3700		/Charles A. Marmor, II/ Supervisory Patent Examiner	